

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

08 ABDUL SIDDIQ,) CASE NO.: C08-0593-TSZ-MAT
09)
Petitioner,)
10)
v.) ORDER
11)
RON VAN BOENING,)
12)
Respondent.)
13 _____)

14 Counsel for petitioner Abdul Siddiq was twice granted additional time to file information
15 relevant to the present proceedings but failed to meet both court-ordered deadlines. (Dkts. 22,
16 23.) Nearly two months after the first extended deadline to do so, counsel submitted that he still
17 plans to file a reply to respondent's answer. (Dkt. 25, at 7.) The Court therefore orders Mr.
18 Siddiq's counsel to file a reply **within seven (7) days** of the date of this order.¹ Respondent's
19 answer to Mr. Siddiq's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition (Dkt. 14) is noted for consideration on January
20 _____

21 ¹ Counsel's failure to file a reply under these circumstances would be sanctionable under
22 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11. Should respondent choose to file a surreply, he should inform
the Court by telephone and Mr. Siddiq's counsel by telephone or facsimile of this fact as soon after
receiving the reply as practicable. *See, e.g.*, Local Rule W.D. Wash. CR 7(g).

01 23, 2009.

02 DATED this 13th day of January, 2009.

03 

04 Mary Alice Theiler
05 United States Magistrate Judge